

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	Franklin D. Lomax JR.	211473US23	8138
09/928,437	08/14/2001	Frankini D. Lomax Jr.	EXAMINER	
	90 10/06/2004 VAK, MCCLELLAN	LEO, LEONARD R		
1940 DUKE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	•	3753	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	)4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
Office Action Summary	09/928,437	LOMAX ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leonard R. Leo	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	(II the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thir d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tte. cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	<u>June 2004</u> .					
,—	is action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,13-18 and 20-22</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,13-18,20 and 21</u> is/are allow		·				
6)⊠ Claim(s) 22 is/are rejected.		*				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
	nor					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an arrangement are also as a specific and are also as a specific at a specific and a specific at a		by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
The dath of declaration is objected to by the	Examiner, Note the attache					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ul>		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in a	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have beer	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)	*					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<b>a</b> . □ <b>.</b>	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5)					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed on June 14, 2004 has been entered. Claim 19 is cancelled, and claims 1-8, 10, 13-18 and 20-22 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The originally filed specification lacks an adequate written description of the invention. There is no basis for "an auxiliary heat exchanger provided said at least one first fluid outlet and said at least one second fluid inlet." Page 16 discloses the ancillary/auxiliary heat exchanger 31 has inlet 30 and outlet 32 for the first/tube side fluid, while second opening 4 "may serve as an inlet or outlet" for the second/shell side fluid. Thus, the auxiliary heat exchanger cannot serve as the first fluid outlet.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/928,437

Art Unit: 3753

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leason et al et al in view of Weber.

Leason et al (column 3, lines 35-38) discloses all the claimed limitations except an auxiliary heat exchanger. The flat insulation element below chamber 15 is a "load-bearing zone" supporting the entire tube bundle and inherently supports at least one pound per square inch.

The vertical insulation wall is "non-load bearing" and is self-supportive as applicants' invention and is believed to support less than one pound per square inch

Weber discloses a tube and shell heat exchanger comprising a tube bundle 4' disposed within housing 1, and an auxiliary heat exchanger 4 disposed at the first fluid inlet and at the second fluid outlet for the purpose of preheating the first fluid to improve efficiency.

Since Leason et al et al and Weber are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Weber would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Leason et al et al.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Leason et al et al an auxiliary heat exchanger for the purpose of preheating the first fluid to improve efficiency as recognized by Weber.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1-8, 10, 13-18 and 20-21 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

Claims 17-18 have been rejoined, since the claims have been amended to depend from the allowable independent claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/928,437

Art Unit: 3753

Newly added 22 is obvious in view of the combination of references above. One cannot argue novelty, especially when the alleged novelty was neither previously claimed nor previously searched.

No further comments are deemed necessary at this time.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is 703-308-2611. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 703-308-2696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Leonard R. Leo Primary Examiner

Leonal or fer

Art Unit 3753

October 3, 2004